

Annual Course Report

(selected Topic 3)

•		• •	e	4	•
Λ.	200		ntar	mat	$\mathbf{I} \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{H}$
A =	112			111211	
7 B					

1 Title and Code	selected Topic 3-CSE 464
1 The and Code	sciected Topic 5 CSL 404

2 Program(s) on which this course is given CSE

3 Academic year / Level of program 4th year / 1st Semester

4 Units/Weekly hours

Lecture 3 Tutorial/Practical 1 Total 4

5 Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Dr. Osama S. Faragallah

Course coordinator: Dr. Osama S. Faragallah

External evaluators: Prof. Fawzy Tork

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course:

No. 214 100%

No. of students completing the course: No. 211 98.6%

Results:

Passed: No. 211 98.6% Failed: No. 0 0%

Grading of successful students:

 Excellent: No.
 9
 4.2%
 Very Good: No.
 57
 26.6%

 Good: No.
 86
 40.2%
 Pass: No.
 59
 27.6%

Professional Information

1. Course Teaching

Topic	No of hours	Lecture	Tutorial/ Practical	Achieved ILOs
Concurrent Systems - The origins of concurrency	8	6	2	a1, a5, b1, b3, b9. c1. c2. c3. d1. d3. d4
The need for interprocess communication and synchronization	8	6	2	a5, a12, b2, b4, c3, c4, c6, d3, d4
Message passing -	8	6	2	a5, a8, b3, b4, b7, b9, c4, c6, d4, d5, d6
Reasoning about concurrent systems with Petri Nets.	8	6	2	a5, a12, b2, b3, b4, c1, c2, c3, c4, c6, c7, d1, d4, d6
Implementation of concurrent systems -	8	6	2	a1, a8, b4, b7, b9, c4, c6, c7, d3, d5, d6
Process management - Implementation of interprocess communication and synchronization.	8	6	2	a1, a12, b1, b3, b7, b9, c3, c4, c7, d1, d5, d6
Memory management – Device management	8	6	2	a1, a8, a12, b1, b3, b9, c1, c3, c6, c7, d4, d5, d6
Total sum	56	42	14	

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:			
<u>>90 %</u> 70-90 % √	<70%		
2. Teaching and Learning Methods:			
Lectures:	J		
Practical Training/ Laboratory:			
Seminar/Workshop:			
Class Activity:	J		
Case Study:	٨		
Other Assignments/Homework:	V		

Other Assignments/Homework:

Method of Assessment	Percentage of total
Class tutorial and quizzes:	5 %
Mid-term examination:	10 %
Case study and/or practical exam:	10 %
Final – term examination:	70 %
Other types of assessment:	5 %
Total	100 %
Members of Examination Committee: Dr. Osama S. Faragallah	
Role of external evaluator: The external evaluator was not effective assessment in the last academic year.	ely involved in the student examination
4. Facilities and Teaching Materials:	
Totally adequate	
Adequate to some extent	<u></u>
Inadequate	
5. Administrative Constraints	
• Insufficient class room.	
6. Student Evaluation of the course:	Response of Course Team
- Lack of practice problems and exercises	- An extra exercises and solved problems are added to the Tutorial of the course.
7. Comments from external evaluator(s): Response has not received.	

3. Student Assessment:

Improvement Field	Weak points	Action required	Person Responsible	Completion Date
Assessment Methods	No feedback of the examination to the students, so exams can not be used for learning.	- Formative exams during the term with a feedback to the students, so these examinations can be used as a method of learning.	- Faculty - Department	2013
Quality of Teaching and Learning	Students attendance at lecture are not very good	- Engagement of students from different academic years to the curriculum development	- Faculty - Department	2013
Learning resources	- The system of maintenance and repair is not flexible enough.	- Maintain and repair the present equipments	- University - Faculty	2013
Course content	Still there is a gap between up-to-date information and reference text books	- add small task projects mainly for real world problem solving.	Course coordinator	2013

Course Coordinator: Dr. Osama S. Faragallah

Signature:

Date: / /